

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1456 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/09/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/079,468	05/15/1998	AKIRA NISHIMURA	360842003-400	8388
750	90 02/09/2003			
Morrison & Forester LLP 1650 Tysons Boulevard Suite300			EXAMINER	
			PRATT, CHRISTOPHER C	
McLean, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NOMBER
			1771	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No	Applicant(s)			
A distance A disc	09/079,468	NISHIMURA ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher C Pratt	1771			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a					
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	o avoid abandonment of this : (1) a timely filed amendment peal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application in			
PERIOD FOR	RREPLY [check either a) or b	o)]			
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of to no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exponents of the control	this Advisory Action, or (2) the date pire later than SIX MONTHS from the WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH. The date on which the petition unditiod of extension and the corresponde of the shortened statutory period. Office later than three months after	ne mailing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for reply originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 16 June 2003. A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>22-28,40,43 and 44</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-21,29-39</u> .					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. (i) Other:					

A JUSKA EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As set forth in the previous advisory action, the prior art renders obvious applicant's invention..